Dkt. 611-5, 112 of 517

	1	Q Okay. You have no reason to think it
	2	would did not come from you?
	3	A Correct.
	4	Q Okay. And you mentioned there that there was
01:22:30	5	a you stated, "Thanks," and then there's a
	6	redacted individual. "I had asked about Mondays,
	7	because there is a researcher at the County who is
	8	eventually going to be going up to PP Pasadena to
	9	collect specimens, but wanted short something
01:22:48	10	short-term until Pasadena could get their act
	11	together."
	12	And then this was September 18th, 2012.
	13	A Uh-huh.
	14	Q Are you aware whether a researcher at the
01:22:59	15	County collected specimens at PP Pasadena?
	16	A To the best of my recollection, this was a
	17	preliminary conversation. And this particular
	18	researcher did not go to Pasadena or LA.
	19	Q And did any other did any other
01:23:21	20	researchers go to PPLA to collect specimens?
	21	A Not as far as I'm aware.
	22	Q Then I I should ask more broadly.
	23	A Can I
	24	Q Sure.
01:23:34	25	A I'd like to amend that to say there is a

Dkt. 611-5, 113 of 517

	1	difference between tissue collection for a big
	2	organization, that they collect the tissue,
	3	procurement organization, and a specific research
	4	project. Now, we may, in fact, have been involved
01:23:49	5	in specific research projects, but that's a whole
	6	different category from fetal tissue donation.
	7	Q And when when you say that about research
	8	projects, would those research projects have
	9	involved fetal tissue, or would they involve
01:24:05	10	something else?
	11	A Usually blood samples, but I can't answer
	12	definitively.
	13	Q Okay. Just to be clear, though: The
	14	research projects to which you're referring did not
01:24:18	15	involve fetal tissue?
	16	A They may have involved placental tissue.
	17	Q So okay. And and how would those
	18	projects at PPLA, who who would have worked
	19	with the researchers to set up their research
01:24:43	20	projects?
	21	A Myself.
	22	Q Okay. And then what would anyone else
	23	well, what what approvals would be necessary to
	24	start a research project at PPLA with one of these
01:25:06	25	researchers?

Dkt. 611-5, 114 of 517

	1	MS. BOMSE: Objection. It's an incomplete
	2	hypothetical. It lacks foundation. I don't believe
	3	that the witness has actually testified that this
	4	occurred.
01:25:19	- 5	But you can answer.
	6	BY MR. BREEN:
	7	Q Well, let's kind of let's set our
	8	foundation properly. While you were a medical
	9	director at PPLA, did you approve any research
01:25:31	10	projects from outside researchers? And by
	11	"outside," I mean outside of PPLA.
	12	A Yes.
	13	Q And what was the nature of those research
a.	14	projects?
01:25:43	15	A They covered a gamut from collecting swabs
	16	for gonorrhea and antibiotic resistance, a number of
	17	studies of that nature.
	,18	Q And you also mentioned maternal blood
*	19	samples. Would that be part of the research?
01:26:03	20	MS. BOMSE: Objection. Vague.
	21	BY MR. BREEN:
	22	Q One of the research projects may have
	23	involved maternal blood; is that correct?
	24	A May.
01:26:12	25	Q And one or more of the research projects

Dkt. 611-5, 115 of 517

	1	would have involved placental material; is that
	2	correct?
	3	A I think that we were discussing it, but I
	4	can't remember whether it actually came to fruition.
01:26:25	5	Q And where would these research projects
	6	where where would would there what form of
	7	approval would the research in other words, did
	8	you you had said you approved the research
	9	projects; correct?
01:26:40	10	A Let me clarify.
	11	Q Sure.
	12	A I would be the point person. I would
	13	organize the things. I would look at the contracts.
	14	But final approval for research rested with the
01:26:52	15	research department at PPFA, which is different from
	16	the department that gave waivers for things.
	17	Q And I believe so the final approval for
	18	research would lie with the research department at
	19	PPLA?
01:27:08	20	A PPFA.
	21	Q Okay.
· ·	22	A PPFA we we did not have a research
	23	department. We wanted one. But the final approval,
	24	the CEO had to sign off on a research project at
01:27:19	25	PPLA, and the research division at PPFA had to sign

Dkt. 611-5, 116 of 517

	1	off on a research project because they wanted to be
	2	sure that we were dotting the i's and crossing the
	3	t's.
	4	Q Okay.
01:27:30	5	MS. BOMSE: Counsel, I want to let you get to
	6	the end of this line of questioning, but then I
	7	think it's probably a good time for a break.
	8	MR. BREEN: We'll break for lunch.
	9	BY MR. BREEN:
01:27:37	10	Q And then just to be clear: There's a
	11	research department at PPFA.
	12	A Yes.
	13	Q Okay. And each research project being
	14	considered at PPLA had to be approved by the PPFA
01:27:56	15	research department?
	16	MS. BOMSE: Objection. Vague.
	17	THE WITNESS: Correct.
	18	BY MR. BREEN:
	19	Q Okay. And then you you had mentioned
01:28:04	20	there may be there may have been a project
	21	involving placental material, but you aren't sure if
	22	there was one?
	23	A No. I was there 15 years or something, and
	24	there is potential swimming here that I may have
01:28:24	25	been involved in placenta, but I really can't
,	. l	

Dkt. 611-5, 117 of 517

	1	remember.
	2	Q Okay. What records would have been kept of
	3	the research projects that were approved at PPLA?
	4	A I certainly kept records, but I no longer
01:28:44	5	have them.
	6	Q And so PPLA would have those records,
	7	potentially?
	8	MS. BOMSE: Objection. Lacks foundation.
	9	Calls for speculation.
01:28:48	10	THE WITNESS: I can't answer for what PPLA
	11	did with my files.
	12	BY MR. BREEN:
	13	Q And so so was there anyone else involved
	14	in the research approval process besides yourself,
01:29:10	15	the CEO, and the PPFA research department?
	16	A Research at PPLA was a collaborative effort.
	17	So if the nurses were going to be involved in having
	18	to get cervical swabs, then the lead clinician would
	19	have to sign off on her staff doing that. If the IT
01:29:29	20	department was going to be involved because we
	21	wanted to adjust the medical record to show
	22	something on the EHR, that said this project was
	23	the patient took part in XYZ project, then IT
	24	it's not just me, it's a whole collection of people.
01:29:44	25	Q Sure. And then did you did you have

Dkt. 611-5, 118 of 517

	1	individuals at PPLA who were who had research in
	2	their job duties?
	3	A I seem to recall that we did, toward the end
	4	of my time there. We possibly were trying to get a
01:30:09	5	research program going, and we had a person who, at
	6	least part of their time, was dedicated to research.
	7	Q Okay. And one of the it's my
	8	understanding that potentially, Dr. Nucatola's title
	9	may have been Associate Medical Director for
01:30:29	10	Research.
	11	A Yes.
	12	Q And what did is she this person that
	13	you were referring to, or is that a different
	14	person?
01:30:36	15	A A different person.
	16	Q Okay.
	17	A An assistant-level person.
	18	Q Okay. And then just just so that I'm
	19	clear, then, with Dr. Nucatola being the associate
01:30:46	20	medical director for research, what duties did she
	21	have in relation to research projects?
	22	MS. BOMSE: Objection. Calls for
	23	speculation.
	24	If you know.
01:30:55	25	THE WITNESS: I would have to look at her job

Dkt. 611-5, 119 of 517

	1	description from PPLA to remind me. But basically,
	2	she was our point person for research projects.
	3	BY MR. BREEN:
	4	Q Okay. And so would she present the research
01:31:07	5	projects to you for approval?
	6	MS. BOMSE: Objection. Incomplete
	7	hypothetical. Lacks foundation.
	8	BY MR. BREEN:
	9	Q Well, if she's the point person for
01:31:16	10	research what do you mean by "point person"?
	11	A That she would look for projects. She was
	12	working with the residents and fellows to do some
	13	data collection projects. She and I worked
	14	collaboratively together. If she and I, together,
01:31:34	15	decided that a project was something we wanted to
	16	do, then we could call on the other persons who
	17	controlled resources at PPLA to say, can we have
	18	resource to do this study.
	19	Q And then one last on this topic for now:
01:31:47	20	When when you would apply to PPFA research, the
	21	PPFA research department, would you send what
	22	would you send to PPFA research department in order
	23	to get an approval from them?
	24	A The outline of the research; when we plan to
01:32:04	25	start; signature of the CEO, the consent forms;

Dkt. 611-5, 120 of 517

	1	the persons we wished to enroll, whether we were
	2	going to do male patients who had a vasectomy, or
	3	going to do female patients of a certain age. So
	4	all the details of the research proposed research
01:32:26	5	project would go to PPFA.
	6	Q And just so I'm clear: Those research
	7	projects may have included projects that involved
	8	fetal tissue in some way?
	9	MS. BOMSE: Objection. Misstates testimony.
01:32:41	10	THE WITNESS: I cannot remember.
	11	MR. BREEN: Okay. Fair enough.
	12	Let's break for lunch.
	13	MS. BOMSE: Okay.
	14	MR. MIHET: Before we go off the record:
01:32:49	15	Counsel, as we discussed, there is a 2:00 o'clock
	16	hearing with Judge Ryu that I don't have anyone else
	17	to cover for me. If we take an hour lunch, that
	18	will take us to 2:30, and I hope that that will
	19	coincide with the end of Judge Ryu's hearing. I
01:33:05	20	know we don't want to be here later than necessary,
	21	but I would ask that we try to wait until I get
	22	back, because I have a very keen interest on this
	23	line of questioning. I anticipate asking questions
	24	myself, and if I'm here for this questioning, then
01:33:20	25	it will be less likely that I'll ask duplicative